Case 1:07-cv-11584-CM-DFE Document 19

Plaintiff,

- against -

J.C. REFINISHING CONTRACTING CORP., TYLMAN R. MOON & ASSOCIATES, P.A., and HARVEY HOME ELECTRONICS, 07 Civ. 11584 (CM)(DFE)
This is not an ECF case

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

ed 05/12/2008 - Page 1 of 5 - **U**50(CSDSC

ELECTRONIC ALLY EUOD

DOCUMENT

DATE FUED:

Defendants.

DOUGLAS F. EATON, United States Magistrate Judge.

- 1. By orders dated May 6 and May 9, 2008, Judge Colleen McMahon referred this case to me to rule on discovery disputes. On May 9, I held a 50-minute telephone conference with Mr. Duran, Mr. Kiley, and Mr. Skulikidis, which included the following.
 - 2. I recited Judge McMahon's May 9 handwritten order.
- 3. I summarized my Standing Order for Discovery Disputes (copy enclosed), and I directed the parties to follow it as to all disputes other than the ones already presented.
- 4. As to Plaintiff's April 24 motion to compel documents from Tylman R. Moon & Associates, P.A. ("Moon"), Mr. Duran conceded that he received a very large box of documents from Moon on April 28. He brought them to New York, but will not be able to review them carefully until May 13, because he became very sick after his May 1st deposition and returned to California. I denied the April 24 motion to compel (Documents 12 and 13) as moot. If Mr. Duran, after reviewing the documents, finds any deficiencies, he must confer with Moon's attorney. If they are unable to reach a compromise, then they must send me a single joint letter.
- 5. As to Plaintiff's April 28 motion to compel documents from J.C. Refinishing Contracting Corp. ("JCRC"), Mr. Duran said that his first priorities are (a) documents showing the dates when JCRC ordered wainscoting and flooring tiles for his condo, and (b) invoices and other documents showing the specifications for the wainscoting and the flooring tiles, and (c) identifying information concerning "the key vendors" (he will fax a list of

UST 5/12/08

-1-

the "key vendors" to Mr. Kiley). I directed JCRC to use its best efforts to get those documents to Mr. Duran's condo in the Essex House by May 13, 2008. I hereby deny the April 28 motion (Document #14), without prejudice to a joint letter presenting any other issues concerning the document requests addressed to JCRC.

- 6. On consent, I granted Plaintiff's April 30 motion to file the Amended Complaint. Moon is not required to serve an Answer to the Amended Complaint; Moon's Answer and Counterclaim to the original Complaint will be deemed to be its Answer and Counterclaim to the Amended Complaint. I directed JCRC to serve and file an Answer and Counterclaim to the Amended Complaint by May 28, 2008. I directed Plaintiff to file a Reply to both Counterclaims by May 30, 2008.
- 7. Pursuant to Rule 30(a)(2), I granted leave to any party to take the deposition of a person who has already been deposed in this action by another party, provided that the defendants as a group are limited to taking no more than 10 depositions, and plaintiff is limited to taking no more than 10 depositions.
- 8. The depositions will start at 9:45 a.m., unless the parties agree otherwise. Plaintiff will depose John Costello on May 14, John Stoltz on May 15, John Niedermyer on May 16, and Tylman Moon on June 4. Moon will depose Mr. Duran on June 3.

DOUGLAS F. EATON

United States Magistrate Judge 500 Pearl Street, Room 1360 New York, New York 10007 Telephone: 212-805-6175

Fax: 212-805-6181fax

Dry bes F. Eather

Dated: New York, New York May 9, 2008

Copies of this Memorandum and Order (and of my Standing Order for Discovery Disputes) will be sent by fax to:

Timothy C. Duran at 408-287-6075fax Kevin J. Kiley, Esq. at 516-466-7903fax Gary J. Dmoch, Esq. and Pantelis Skulikidis at 718-445-2520fax Hon. Colleen McMahon UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE
500 PEARL STREET
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007-1312

DOUGLAS F. EATON
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Standing Order for Discovery Disputes in Cases Assigned to Magistrate Judge Douglas F. Eaton

¶1. Conference requirement. Rule 37(a)(1), Fed. R. Civ. P., requires the attorneys to confer in good faith in an effort to resolve or narrow all discovery disputes before seeking judicial intervention. "Confer" means to meet, in person or by telephone, and make a genuine effort to resolve the dispute by determining, without regard to technical interpretation of the language of a request, (a) what the requesting party is actually seeking, (b) what the discovering party is reasonably capable of producing that is responsive to the request, and (c) what specific genuine issues, if any, cannot be resolved without judicial intervention. The exchange of letters between counsel stating positions "for the record" shall not be deemed compliance with this requirement, or with Rule 37(a)(1). Failure to hold a good faith conference is ground for the award of attorney's fees and other sanctions. 28 U.S.C. \$1927; Apex Oil Co. v. Belcher Co., 855 F.2d 1009, 1019-20 (2d Cir. 1988).

¶2. <u>Depositions</u>.

- a. No one may instruct a witness not to answer, except upon grounds of privilege, or as permitted by Rule 30(c)(2), Fed. R. Civ. P. All other objections, <u>including objections as to relevance</u>, may be briefly stated on the record, but the question must be answered.
- b. If privilege is asserted, the person claiming privilege must answer the predicate questions necessary to establish the applicability of the privilege. See Local Civil Rule 26.2.
- c. Disputes relating to privilege or procedure at a deposition, and applications to terminate or limit a deposition pursuant to Rule 30(d), may be brought to my attention by telephone conference (if I am available) without adjourning the deposition. (NOTE: Telephone conferences are limited to disputes about a deposition taking place that very day. Any other disputes must be submitted by joint letter; see below at ¶ 3.) My telephone number is 212-805-6175. The following procedures apply to such telephone conferences:

- (1) All attorneys present at the deposition must participate in the telephone conference by speakerphone or extension telephone.
- (2) Unless otherwise directed by me, the witness shall be out of the room during the argument of the issues raised.
- (3) The reporter must be available to read the verbatim text of any matters in dispute, and shall record and transcribe the entire telephone conference as part of the record of the deposition.
- (4) The parties' time to seek review pursuant to Rule 72(a), Fed. R. Civ. P. of any ruling made during a telephone conference shall commence to run on the date of receipt of the transcript.

¶3. All other discovery disputes (including disputes about adjournments).

Local Civil Rule 37.2 speaks of a first request for an informal conference with the court; however, in discovery disputes before me (except for disputes about a deposition taking place that very day), you should not bother to request an informal conference. Instead, proceed as follows. Following compliance with ¶ 1, above, the parties must send me a single foint letter, signed by each person involved in the dispute (or his attorney) and giving the telephone number and fax number for each such person (or his attorney). If the joint letter concerns more than one issue, it is generally preferable to state the position of each party on the first issue before moving on to any second issue. The joint letter should be faxed to me at 212-805-6181, without any exhibits unless the exhibits total no more than 12 pages. In addition, the original of the joint letter (plus any exhibits) should be sent to me by regular mail. Do not send a copy via Electronic Case Filing; it is my practice to keep the joint letter in my chambers file, and not to place it on the docket. (I prefer to be able to review the letter quickly in fax form, even if I may have to wait for exhibits to arrive by mail.) The exhibits should <u>not</u> be bound to each other; each exhibit should be <u>separate</u>. The mailing should also enclose a courtesy copy of the joint letter (but not a courtesy copy of any exhibits). If you send your adversary a draft of your portion of a joint letter and he or she does not send you a responsive portion within 5 business days, you may send your portion to me (with another copy to your adversary, and an explanation of the circumstances). You must wait for the 5 days even if there are fewer than 5 business days before a discovery deadline.

- b. I will allow the following format to substitute for a "single joint letter," if all parties to a particular dispute agree to use this format:
- (1) a letter motion, maximum 8 pages, faxed to each party but not sent to me at this stage;
- (2) within two business days (or more if agreed) a letter from each party opposing the motion, maximum 10 pages to be faxed to each party;
- (3) within one business day (or more if agreed) a reply letter from movant, to be faxed to each party, maximum 2 pages to reply to each separate opposing letter. All of these letters (plus any exhibits) to be sent to me by movant in a <u>single package</u>. (This package should also contain a courtesy copy of each letter, but not a courtesy copy of the exhibits.) The exhibits should <u>not</u> be bound to each other. Preferably, the movant should also send me the letters (without any exhibits) in a single fax transmission.
- c. Citation of case law is desirable. It is usually unnecessary to recite the procedural history in detail. It is usually unhelpful to include subjective matters such as opinions about the motives of your adversary.
- d. If interrogatories, document requests, or responses are in dispute, the joint letter must enclose the text of the specific items in dispute (and only those items).
- c. I will normally decide such disputes on the basis of the joint letter, with <u>no oral argument</u>. If I want affidavits, briefs, or a conference, I will inform counsel.
- f. Submissions under \P 3 shall be deemed to be motions pursuant to Rule 37 and may provide the basis for me to award expenses and other sanctions.
- g. My requirement for a single joint letter applies also to non-parties. If a party foresees that it may have a discovery dispute with a non-party, then it shall serve the non-party with a copy of this Standing Order.

Douglas F. Eaton, U.S.M.J.

Revised as of 5/7/08